UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| 10/552,542 | 10/11/2005 | Yoshihito Hagawa | 64291 (71719) | 2577 |
| | 7590 05/26/200 NGELL PALMER & D | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 5587 | <i>1</i> 4 | WARE, DEBORAH K | | |
| BOSTON, MA | 02203 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1651 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/26/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

13. Other: ___

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/552,542 | HAGAWA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| DEBBIE K. WARE | 1651 | | |

| | | DEBBIE K. WARE | 1651 | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE R | EPLY FILED <u>22 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. 🔯 T a a f | The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeter Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE) | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| | The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| have be under 3 set forth may red | MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(cons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date the filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the standard in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) SEE OF APPEAL | f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | 36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Office | e extension fee ate extension fee te action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. 🔲 1 f N | The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w DMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| 3. X | The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to a | nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w); | ΓE below); | | | | | |
| 4. 🔲 5. 🔲 | d) They present additional claims without canceling a convergence NOTE: The newly added term "supernatant" introduction the newly added claim limitation. Also a supernata different from one which is obtained from a different the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | duces new consideration for a new ant obtained from one strain of Lact of strain of the same species. (See 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co: | <i>search and new art to obacillus gasseri may</i> 37 CFR 1.116 and 41 mpliant Amendment (| not be any .33(a)). PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 7. N r 1 1 0 0 0 | on-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) low the new or amended claims would be rejected is provine status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None. Claim(s) rejected: 27,30,33,36,37,43 and 47-49. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: None. | will not be entered, or b) will will | | | | | | |
| | AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | t before or on the date of filing a Ne | ation of Annaal will not | be entered | | | | |
| t V | he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu recause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and ras not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affidav | it or other evidence is | necessary and | | | | |
| € 9 | The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing intered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). | | | | |
| | The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| | EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER The request for reconsideration has been considered bu The claims must clearly define what Applicants deem the specification, limitations of the specification are not read identical strain of bacteria as claimed, is noted. Howeve strain per se, and the cells of the strain are actually dest It is further unclear that the residue does not encompass be any difference between the residue and the prior art. entered and are not deemed persuasive. | e claimed invention to be and altho into the claims. The argument that er, the claimed feed supplement doctroyed to recover residue of which not antibiotic like properties and/or foo | ugh the claims are rea t the reference does r es not necessarily req nay not be different fro od-like properties and | ad in light of the not teach the uire the claimed om the prior art. there may not | | | | |
| 12. 🔲 | Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

/DKW/ Deborah K. Ware

Examiner Art Unit: 1651 Application No. /David M. Naff/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1657

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090522